

Stress-Responsive Polymers Containing Cyclobutane Core Mechanophores: Reactivity and Mechanistic Insights

Zachary S. Kean,[†] Zhenbin Niu,[†] Gihan B. Hewage,[†] Arnold L. Rheingold,[‡] and Stephen L. Craig^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, United States [‡]Department of Chemistry, University of California, La Jolla, California 92093, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A primary goal of covalent mechanochemistry is to develop polymer bound mechanophores that undergo constructive transformations in response to otherwise destructive forces. The [2 + 2] cycloreversion of cyclobutane mechanophores has emerged as a versatile framework to develop a wide range of stress-activated functionality. Herein,



we report the development of a class of cyclobutane bearing bicyclo[4.2.0]octane mechanophores. Using carbodiimide polyesterification, these stress-responsive units were incorporated into high molecular weight polymers containing up to 700 mechanophores per polymer chain. Under exposure to the otherwise destructive elongational forces of pulsed ultrasound, these mechanophores unravel by ~ 7 Å per monomer unit to form α_{β} -unsaturated esters that react constructively via thiol-ene conjugate addition to form sulfide functionalized copolymers and cross-linked polymer networks. To probe the dynamics of the mechanochemical ring opening, a series of bicyclo 4.2.0 octane derivatives that varied in stereochemistry, substitution, and symmetry were synthesized and activated. Reactivity and product stereochemistry was analyzed by ¹H NMR, which allowed us to interrogate the mechanism of the mechanochemical [2 + 2] cycloreversion. These results support that the ring opening is not concerted but proceeds via a 1,4 diradical intermediate. The bicyclo[4.2.0]octanes hold promise as active functional groups in new classes of stress-responsive polymeric materials.

• ovalent mechanochemistry has enabled the development of a wide array of stress-responsive polymers for application in the fields of catalysis,^{1–3} synthesis,⁴ stresssensing, 5^{-7} and self-healing or self-strengthening materials, among others. Generally, these mechanochemical transformations are facilitated by polymeric handles, which act as force transducers to direct applied stress to the mechanophore of interest from bulk stress or shear flow,⁹ but the relationship between macromolecular and intermolecular forces¹⁰ has allowed molecular force probes to be used to productive effect as well.¹¹⁻¹³ Many of the reported mechanochemical transformations are inherently dissociative,¹⁴⁻¹⁸ leading to the chain rupture and molecular weight degradation as a result of their activation. Nonscissile mechanophores allow for several unique molecular responses in the context of stress responsive material systems. First, because nonscissile activation events occur independently of molecular weight degradation, many reactive functionalities may be generated per chain rupture event (i.e., nonspecific chain scission in pulsed ultrasound), giving the opportunity for constructive bond formation to outpace destructive bond scission in materials under load.^{19,20} Second, high local elongations^{21,22} can be engineered into these mechanophores and may provide a basis for molecular level stress-relief in overstressed subchains in bulk materials under load. These aspects of mechanophore design are heavily influenced by our goal of developing an "on-demand" response in materials under destructive stress in order to delay or prevent catastrophic failure.

Previously, we have explored the gem-dihalocyclopropanated (gDHC) polybutadiene platform to this end. The gDHC mechanophores elongate by ~ 1.5 Å per cyclopropane and generate reactive 1,3-diradicals or 2,3-dihaloalkenes upon activation.²³ Recently, we utilized this platform to demonstrate a liquid-to-solid transition in polymer solutions under destructive shear as well as stress-induced bond formation and cross-linking in the bulk.⁸ Other reported nonscissile mechanophores include benzocyclobutenes,²⁴ atropisomeric biaryls,²⁵ epoxides,²⁶ spiropyrans,²⁷ pyrophosphates,²⁸ and oxanorbornadiene.²⁹ The Moore group has demonstrated that cyclobutane bearing acrylate polymers exhibit enhanced scission kinetics under the application of pulsed ultrasound leading to the production of functional chain-end acrylates in a net $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloreversion.^{30,31} We sought to utilize this tranformation to develop a new class of nonscissile mechanophores based on fused-cyclobutane structures via the installation of a covalent tether. Previous efforts have demonstrated a photoreversible, single mechanophore system embedded in poly(methyl acrylate).³² Here, we expand the nonscissile mechanophore repertoire by incorporating bicyclo[4.2.0]octane (BCO) functionality into high molecular weight (MW) polyesters via carbodiimide polyesterification³³ of BCO containing diester diols. We also use the BCO platform to analyze stereochemical effects on mechanochemical

Received: July 24, 2013 Published: August 13, 2013

Journal of the American Chemical Society

reactivity, for potential self-healing applications via stressgenerated $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ester functional groups, and as a tool for mechanistic inquiry through analysis of stereochemical product distributions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially, we aimed to develop a modular and scalable (multigram) approach to synthesize fused-cyclobutane bearing monomers. As previously reported,³⁴ *cis*-BCO dicarboxylic acid **1a** (Scheme 1) can be prepared in large quantities (\sim 10 g, see

Scheme 1. (A) Representative Synthesis of BCO Diol Monomer and (B) Structures of BCO Diol Analogues



Supporting Information) by photochemical [2 + 2] cycloaddition of maleic anhydride and cyclohexene in the presence of benzophenone. Basic hydrolysis of the anhydride followed by acidification allows for the precipitation of the carboxylic acid from water in high diastereomeric purity (95%, ¹H NMR, see Supporting Information). Acid-catalyzed esterification with ethylene glycol yields diol-functional cis-BCO 1. Carbodiimide polyesterification, based on the method of Moore and Stupp,³³ of the diol monomer in the presence of glutaric acid, diisopropylcarbodiimide, and DPTS (dimethylaminopyridinium p-toluenesulfonate) in DCM (Scheme 2A) yields highmolecular weight polyester (179 kDa, Table 1). High MWs are routinely attainable with this method when polymerization is performed for 48 h at high monomer concentration (~0.5-1 M). Polymers were characterized by gel permeation chromatography-multiangle light scattering (GPC-MALS) and undergo multiple chain breaks per polymer chain (on average) during sonication experiments.

Mechanochemical activity was probed via the application of pulsed ultrasound (14.8 W/cm², 6-9 °C) to P1 (*cis*-BCO)

Table 1. Summary of Polymers Synthesized^a

polymer name	BCO diol	$M_{\rm n}~({\rm kDa})$	PDI
P1 (cis-BCO)	1	179	1.43
P2 (trans-BCO)	2	155	1.34
P1,2 (cis/trans-BCO)	1 and 2	161	1.32
P3 (cis-CN-BCO)	3	133	1.28
P4 (cis-Br ₂ -BCO)	4	51.0	1.35
PC (control)	1 and 2	13.3	1.28

^{*a*}Polymers were synthesized according to the method outlined in Scheme 2 from their respective diol monomers shown in Scheme 1. MWs (M_n) and PDI were determined by GPC-MALS (see Supporting Information).

(179 kDa, MeCN, 2 mg/mL). Subsequent ¹H and ¹³C NMR analysis revealed the presence of peaks consistent with $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ester formation, the first direct spectroscopic observation of alkenes formed via the mechanochemical [2 + 2] cycloreversion of cyclobutanes (Figure 1, see Supporting



Figure 1. ¹H NMR of selected *cis*-BCO peaks before (top) and after (bottom) sonication for 180 min shows the disappearance of cyclobutane resonances (red and blue) with the concurrent appearance of alkene and allyl protons for *E* and *Z* isomers (black).

Information). These resonances were observed to increase as a function of sonication time, reaching 48% ring opening after 180 min of sonication time, corresponding to the production of 450 alkenes per initial polymer chain. Due to the nonscissile nature of the mechanophore, many alkenes are formed per chain scission event, allowing for the quantification of percent ring opening (vs initial BCO content, see Supporting Information) at various sonication times with concurrent MW degradation via nonspecific chain scission due to the high forces commonly generated during ultrasonication. Using

Scheme 2. Carbodiimide Polyesterification of BCO Diol (1) and Mechanochemical Activation by Pulsed Ultrasound^a



^aBlue dots symbolize the polymer structure surrounding BCOs in unactivated polymers and alkenes in activated copolymers (inset).

Journal of the American Chemical Society

established molecular modeling methods^{21,35} (see Supporting Information), we estimate that elongations of ~7 Å per polymer repeat unit occur as the *cis*-BCO mechanophore unravels under applied stress. This magnitude of covalent stress relief per event exceeds that reported previously^{5,22,32} and might permit survival of overstressed polymer subchains under strains that are otherwise catastrophic.²³

To demonstrate the mechanochemical nature of the ring opening, we synthesized control polymer **PC** (13.3 kDa, Table 1). Flow forces experienced by low MW polymers are often insufficient to illicit many covalent mechanochemical transformations by pulsed ultrasound, while activation due to purely thermal processes presumably remains unaffected.⁹ After 180 min of irradiation, polymer **PC** showed no ring opening or appreciable MW degradation (see Supporting Information).

With the ability to quantify the extent of the mechanochemical [2 + 2] cycloreversion, we decided to use our platform to probe the relative reactivity of cis and trans handles to applied force. Previous observations have shown that mechanophores with trans attachment points display diminished activation kinetics when compared to their cis counterparts.^{24,30,36} In the case of benzocyclobutene, this has been attributed to a combination of lower force-free activation energy, greater chemomechanical coupling efficiency, and larger changes in compliance along the reaction coordinate between ground and transition states for the cis isomer.^{37–39} The *trans*-BCO monomer 2 was obtained by base-mediated epimerization of cis-BCO (dimethylester) with sodium methoxide and subsequent transesterification with ethylene glycol (see Supporting Information). The cis- and trans-diols were copolymerized into a single polymer P1,2 (47:53 cis:trans, 161 kDa, Table 1) to ensure that the average forces experienced by each isomer were identical during sonochemical experiments. As shown in Figure 2, the percent ring opening for the cis isomer exceeded that of the trans at all times tested, further validating the observations of Kryger et al.³⁰ It should be noted that while the ring opening disparity diminishes throughout the sonication (from cis:trans 2.5:1 at 5 min to 1.6:1 at 180 min), this is consistent with exhaustion of the cis isomer in the mechanically susceptible region of the polymer chains.^{15,40,41}

The unveiled α_{β} -unsaturated esters are reactive toward a variety of conjugate addition conditions, allowing us to expand the repertoire of stress-induced bond forming reactions. To test the potential for our polymers as self-healing materials, we targeted the nucleophilic thiol-ene reaction. Cis-BCO polymer P1 was first sonicated for 180 min (4 mg/mL) to yield a 33% ring-opened polymer. To characterize the efficiency of the reaction, we first reacted the polymer with a monofunctional thiol, ethyl thioglycolate (1.5 eq. per alkene) in acetonitrile- d_3 (~0.1 mmol alkene), with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU, 0.5 mol %) catalyst. Time points were recorded by ¹H NMR, and near complete conversion of the alkenes were observed in 65 min. Encouraged by the efficiency of the reaction, we subjected a 36% ring-opened cis-BCO polymer to identical reaction conditions in the presence of difunctional 1,4butanediol bis(thioglycolate) (0.5 equiv per alkene) yielding an intractable polymer gel in less than 1 min (Figure 3). These results demonstrate the potential of this system to participate in an expanded array of bond forming reactions that occur rapidly under relatively mild (ambient) conditions. Current efforts focus on expanding this approach to in situ bond formation both in solution and in bulk materials. This involves both the construction of BCO-containing materials that encourage bulk



Figure 2. Sonochemical activation of *cis/trans*-BCO (**P1,2**) copolymer (top) results in different activation profiles for the two isomers as determined by ¹H NMR. MW degradation due to nonspecific chain scission (green) occurs due to high flow forces experienced in pulsed ultrasound.

mechanochemical activation as well as developing BCO analogues that increase the reactivity of the unveiled unsaturation to noncatalyzed conjugate addition.

While exploring the sonochemical reactivity of the cis-BCO systems, we were intrigued by the stereochemistry of the mechanochemically generated alkenes (Figure 1). We observed two sets of overlapping peaks in the ¹H NMR spectrum (H_A , ~6.95 ppm) corresponding to the $\beta_{i}E$ -alkene proton. We presumed that this was due to differing shifts for EE and EZ pairs within a single monomeric diene. Lorentzian deconvolution of a representative peak (180 min sonication time, see Supporting Information) revealed that the major isomer accounts for 76% of the total β ,*E*-alkene proton integration. Due to the relative total E:Z content (51:49) and less resolution between peak overlap for the remaining resonances, we were unable to obtain the stereochemical product distribution without ambiguity via this method (although the possibilities were narrowed to two possible outcomes, see Supporting Information). Instead, the identity of the major Econtaining isomer was confirmed by reducing the esters of sonicated cis-BCO with Dibalh to diol derivatives of the constituent esters, specifically deca-2,8-diene-1,10-diols from the mechanochemically generated $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated esters (Figure 4a). Gas chromatography (GC) analysis of the TMSderivatized product mixture versus authentic compounds showed that the EZ-isomer was produced as the major product (78%), followed by the EE (14%) and ZZ (8%) isomers (Figure 4b). This corresponded well to a possible product distribution of 77:13:10 previously determined by deconvolution of the ¹H NMR spectrum (see Supporting Information).

The opportunity to quantify a mixture of products in a mechanochemical reaction is unique among examples to date, and here the product stereochemistry provides an opportunity to experimentally probe the mechanism of mechanochemical



Figure 3. Stress-enabled reactivity of BCO polymers. Unsaturated esters react via nucleophilic thiol-ene conditions to form functionalized copolymers via reaction with monofunctional thiols (left) and network gels via reaction with bifunctional thiols (right).



Figure 4. (A) Reduction of sonicated *cis*-BCO polymer P1 (52% ring opening) yields constituent small molecule diols. (B) GC analysis of reduction mixture (as TMS ethers derivatized with BSTFA) shows separation of *ZZ*, *EZ*, and *EE* decadienediol isomers confirmed by comparison with authentic compounds (see Supporting Information).

cyclobutane cleavage, which was previously shown computationally to proceed through a sequential bond breaking process.³¹ The generation of *EZ* products from *cis*-BCO represents a formal inversion of configuration at C1 or C2, facilitated by a formal rotation about either the C1–C4 or C2– C3 bonds prior to formation of the product alkenes. Though once the subject of debate,^{42–44} it is generally accepted that [2 + 2] cycloreversions of cyclobutanes occur through highly nonconcerted two-step processes via a tetramethylene diradical intermediate.⁴⁵ Experimental⁴⁶ and theoretical⁴⁷ evidence, however, suggests that some preference exists for inversions (via bond rotation in the tetramethylene intermediate) that reflect the outcomes of hypothetical $2\pi_s + 2\pi_a$ orbital symmetry allowed pathways, perhaps as a result of through-bond coupling in the diradical. Additionally, mechanochemical reaction mechanisms often deviate from canonical pathways^{24,48} and deserve further interrogation. The most obvious deviation from force-free behavior in the present case is that the cycloreversion occurs with different regioselectivity from the force-free thermal process for bicyclo[4.2.0]octane, which degrades to cyclohexene and ethylene⁴⁶ (as opposed to 1,7-octadiene).

We discuss the mechanism in terms of two limiting pathways: (a) inversion via a $2\pi_s + 2\pi_a$ (Woodward–Hoffmann allowed) concerted [2 + 2] cycloreversion (Figure 5a) and (b) formation of a diradical intermediate via C1–C2 homolytic cleavage and subsequent rotation in kinetic competition with product (alkene) formation (Figure 5b). We omit from consideration $2\pi_s + 2\pi_s$ thermally disallowed concerted pathways due to the exceptionally high activation energy (~115 kcal/mol) determined by Woodward and Hoffmann⁴⁹ (in that this is significantly higher than the activation energy of cyclobutane ~62 kcal/mol⁵⁰).

Taken alone, mechanochemical activation of *cis*-BCO leaves little room to comment on which pathway is primarily at play,



Figure 5. (A) Hypothetical concerted [2 + 2] cycloreversion of *cis*-BCO results in *EZ* diene product. (B) Diradical intermediate from C1–C2 homolysis allows for conformational freedom and the formation of *EE*, *EZ*, and *ZZ* dienes.



Figure 6. *EZ*-diene is the major product of the mechanochemical [2 + 2] cycloreversion of both *cis*-BCO (A) and *trans*-BCO (B). (C) Both isomers are "pulled" into a single "pro-*EZ*" conformer after homolysis resulting in a single major product (red).

as the EZ product might result from either mechanism (Figure 6a). We therefore synthesized a trans-BCO homopolymer P2 (95% trans, 155 kDa) to observe whether the product stereochemisry has a memory of the reactant stereochemistry. After 180 min of sonication and reduction, the trans-BCO ring opening was analyzed by the GC and ¹H NMR deconvolution methods performed previously for P1 (see Supporting Information). Again, the EZ isomer was observed to predominate (71%), though to a slightly lesser extent when compared with cis-BCO (Figure 6b). The formation of the EZ isomer from trans-BCO represents a formal retention of stereochemistry, versus a formal inversion from the cis-BCO isomer. The similar product distributions for the two isomers suggest that the two ring openings occur via a two-step process with a common intermediate (taken to be the tetramethylene diradical), followed by a stereochemistry determining step (C3-C4 homolysis, Figure 5b). In this scenario, the diradical is pulled into a preferred "pro-EZ" conformer prior to or concurrent with product formation (Figure 6c). We note that, while most memory of the initial stereochemistry is lost, some remains; the relative product distributions for both isomers are skewed slightly toward the corresponding single inversion products (more EZ for cis and EE/ZZ for trans) predicted for $2\pi_s + 2\pi_a$ cycloreversion pathways. It should also be pointed out that the diradical conformers shown in Figure 5 are meant to be convenient representations of the dynamics involved during cycloreversion and do not necessarily represent all aspects of electronic and molecular structure involved.

An alternate view of these "memory" effects is to couch them in terms of the dynamics of the diradical intermediate. Once the diradical forms (via C1-C2 homolysis), alkene formation via C3–C4 cleavage can occur either before or after conformational relaxation, for example, through rotation about C1–C4 or C2–C3. Scission prior to relaxation ($k_{\text{break}} \gg k_{\text{rot}}$) corresponds to an effectively concerted (if highly asynchronous) mechanism, whereas $k_{\text{rot}} \gg k_{\text{break}}$ corresponds to the purely stepwise process. Within this framework, the *cis* vs *trans* BCO reactivity is consistent with minor contributions from C3–C4 scission prior to conformational relaxation; in other words, $k_{\text{rot}} > k_{\text{break}}$, but there is some competition between the processes. We therefore set out to hinder the rotation by increasing sterics at C1 and/or C2.

Initially, we installed a single nitrile group on the cyclobutane ring. Purification by column chromatography yielded the asymmetrically substituted cis-CN-BCO dimethyl ester as the major product (single diastereomer 3a, see Supporting Information), the structure of which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see Supporting Information). Transesterification with ethylene glycol yielded the diol monomer 3, which was polymerized in the previously described fashion (Scheme 2) to yield a 133 kDa polyester P3 (Table 1). The overall reaction stereochemistry was largely unchanged; Lorentzian deconvolution, which is sufficient to determine the product distribution unambiguously in this case, was utilized to determine the major product to be the EZ_{CN} diene (77%, Figure 7a, see Supporting Information). Little inversion was observed about the nitrile functionalized C1-C4 bond, with 98% of the cyanoalkenes obtained in the Z-configuration. Additionally, further support is given for the preference of a "pro-EZ" conformer in that the overall product ratios (EZ:EE:ZZ) is consistent with that of the unsubstituted cis-BCO and trans-BCO mechanophores (Figure 6c), indicating



Figure 7. (A) Product distribution of mechanochemical activation of *cis*-CN–BCO polymer **P3**. (B) Product distribution of mechanochemical activation of *cis*-Br₂–BCO polymer **P4**. (C) Increased substitution hinders diradical rotation resulting in increased retention of stereo-chemistry. Major products shown in red.

that the same preference for the formation of a "pro-*EZ*" conformer occurs even when rotation about one bond of the diradical is restricted. This outcome can be rationalized in the context of the nonconcerted diradical intermediate where $k_{\rm rot,H} > k_{\rm rot,CN}$ but $k_{\rm rot,H} > k_{\rm break}$ as the initial configuration of the C2–C3 bond is lost in the majority of cases. Similar effects are observed with respect to substitution in the thermolysis of aliphatic cyclobutane derivatives.⁵¹

We sought to determine whether additional substitution would further disfavor the formation of the EZ isomer and bias the reaction outcome toward net formal retention of initial configuration. By subjecting cis-BCO diacid 1a to Hell-Volhard-Zelinsky conditions as previously reported, 52,53 we were able to isolate the dimethyl ester of *cis*-Br₂-BCO (meso) as a single diastereomer confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see Supporting Information). Analogous to previous diols, 4 was synthesized by acid-catalyzed transesterification with ethylene glycol. Polyesterification of 4 proved more challenging than previous examples, but we obtained polymer P4 (51 kDa, Table 1) that was sufficient for sonochemical activation and product analysis. The polymer was subjected to pulsed ultrasound (180 min) in CHCl₃ (due to insolubility in MeCN), and 12% ring opening was observed by ¹H NMR. Unlike previous polymers, Z-alkene products predominated, accounting for 80% of all alkenes formed (note: due to a change of priority designation, the Z-configuration here is equivalent to the E-configuration of previous examples with respect to retention of stereochemistry). Again, Lorentzian deconvolution was sufficient to determine stereochemical product distributions. As hypothesized, the ZZ isomer was observed to be the major product (66%), corresponding to both a formal retention of stereochemistry, as well as a shift in preference away from the proposed "pro-EZ" conformer, in contrast with all preceding examples (Figure 7b and c). The differences in product distribution for P4 are not due to its lower molecular weight, as verified by sonication of a lower MW P1 (66 kDa) and the invariance of the product distribution with time as higher MW polymers are broken down into lower MW fragments (see Supporting Information, Figures S23 and S24, Tables S5 and S6).

This observation is consistent with the diradical model if steric congestion causes a loss of conformational freedom and $k_{\rm rot} < k_{\rm breakt}$ a shift in trend from the less substituted analogues. Here, rotation is further restricted by the bromine substituents, causing decomposition to the product alkenes with no rotation occurring in the majority of monomers. Comparing this result to unsubstituted cis-BCO, we see that any preference for single inversion is highly suppressed, although the EZ product is formed in appreciable quantity (27%). Variations in k_{break} are unlikely to account for the differences. Prior estimates for radical stabilization due to bromine substitution are ~3.5 kcal/ mol⁵⁴ for a carbon-centered radical or close to 7 kcal/mol for the diradical implicated here. We calculate that the diacrylate product is stabilized by a similar value of 5 kcal/mol (see Supporting Information, Figure S28), and we therefore infer that the diradical-to-diacrylate bond-breaking step is not significantly impacted by bromination. Figure 7 summarizes product distributions and the proposed mechanistic effects of substitution.

As with most mechanistic considerations, the limiting cases provide a convenient framework for discussion, and we recognize that many subtleties are unresolved. For example, the tetramethylene diradical likely cannot be purely decoupled from C3–C4 scission, as there will be mixing of the nonbonding and bonding orbitals. Likewise, restricted rotation about C1–C4 or C2–C3 might influence the true concertedness of the reaction by slowing C1–C2 homolysis, rather than restricting rotation in the 1,4-diradicaloid intermediate. Nevertheless, these studies show how the outcomes, and presumably the rates, of mechanical BCO activation can be influenced by structural manipulation. Such control might be quite useful, given the potential utility of BCO as a mechanophore in stress-responsive materails.

CONCLUSION

The bicyclo [4.2.0] octane framework was exploited to develop a family of nonscissile mechanophores. These functionalized cyclobutane bearing units were designed to undergo nonscissile ring opening to afford unsaturated products and high elongations under the application of stress for integration into stress-responsive materials. These mechanophores were incorporated as diol monomers into high molecular weight polyesters via carbodiimide polymerization and subsequently activated to form reactive α_{β} -unsaturated esters via the application of pulsed ultrasound. Due to the nonscissile nature of the activation, many cycloreversions occur per polymer chain (hundreds) allowing for the quantification of reaction progress and determination of products by conventional NMR methods. Small molecule functionalized copolymers as well as network structures were formed via rapid nucleophilic thiol-ene conjugation of mono and difunctional thiols, respectively. Reactivity, along with high elongations (~7 Å per monomer unit), demonstrates an improvement in stress-activated behavior of these mechanophores over that of the gDHC systems.^{8,22} Moving forward, the BCO platform will be used to expand and compliment our efforts to develop self-healing materials based on the gDHC family of mechanophores. A qualitative assessment of the relative the reactivity of cis- and trans-BCO was made by measuring percent ring opening as a function of sonication time. The stereochemical configurations of product dienes were unambiguously determined for all BCO derivatives, and these observations were used to elaborate on a mechanistic description of the mechanochemical $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloreversion of cyclobutanes. This model was used to guide our design of substituted BCO analogues, allowing us to tune the stereochemical product distributions. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations³⁸ might help to further address the factors that determine product stereochemistry in this system as well as a more detailed rationalization for the formation of a "pro-EZ" conformer. Additionally, efforts are underway to integrate these mechanophores into material platforms that will facilitate bulk activation and the development of mechanochemically stressresponsive materials.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental details, synthetic procedures, GPC characterization, sonochemical methods, structure determination, crystallographic data (cif files), and additional details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author stephen.craig@duke.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based on work supported by the US Army Research Laboratory and the Army Research Office (grant nos. W911NF-07-1-0409 and W911NF-12-1-0337) and the National Science Foundation (DMR-1122483). Z.S.K. thanks the NIH for a NIGMS Biotechnology Predoctoral Training Grant (T32GM8555). Z.S.K. thanks Jiyong Hong for helpful discussion. We thank Jeff Moore and Matt Kryger for encouraging conversations.

REFERENCES

- (1) Piermattei, A.; Karthikeyan, S.; Sijbesma, R. P. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 133–137.
- (2) Wiggins, K. M.; Hudnall, T. W.; Tennyson, A. G.; Bielawski, C. W. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 8355–8359.
- (3) Tennyson, A. G.; Wiggins, K. M.; Bielawski, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16631-16636.
- (4) Wiggins, K. M.; Brantley, J. N.; Bielawski, C. W. ACS Macro Lett. 2012, 1, 623–626.
- (5) Davis, D. A.; Hamilton, A.; Yang, J.; Cremar, L. D.; Van Gough, D.; Potisek, S. L.; Ong, M. T.; Braun, P. V.; Martinez, T. J.; White, S.
- R.; Moore, J. S.; Sottos, N. R. Nature 2009, 459, 68-72.
- (6) Chen, Y.; Spiering, A. J. H.; Karthikeyan, S.; Peters, G. W. M.; Meijer, E. W.; Sijbesma, R. P. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 559–562.
- (7) Lenhardt, J. M.; Black, A. L.; Beiermann, B. A.; Steinberg, B. D.; Rahman, F.; Samborski, T.; Elsakr, I.; Moore, J. S.; Sottos, N. R.; Craig,
- S. L. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 8454-8459.

(8) Ramirez, A. L. B.; Kean, Z. S.; Orlicki, J. A.; Champhekar, M.;
Elsakr, S. M.; Krause, W. E.; Craig, S. L. *Nat. Chem.* 2013, *5*, 757–761.
(9) Caruso, M. M.; Davis, D. A.; Shen, Q.; Odom, S. A.; Sottos, N.

- R.; White, S. R.; Moore, J. S. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 5755-5798.
- (10) Akbulatov, S.; Tian, Y.; Boulatov, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7620-7623.
- (11) Akbulatov, S.; Tian, Y.; Kapustin, E.; Boulatov, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6992–6995.
- (12) Kucharski, T. J.; Huang, Z.; Yang, Q. Z.; Tian, Y. C.; Rubin, N. C.; Concepcion, C. D.; Boulatov, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7040–7043.
- (13) Yang, Q. Z.; Huang, Z.; Kucharski, T. J.; Khvostichenko, D.; Chen, J.; Boulatov, R. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2009, 4, 302–306.
- (14) Paulusse, J. M. J.; Huijbers, J. P. J.; Sijbesma, R. P. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4928–4934.
- (15) Berkowski, K. L.; Potisek, S. L.; Hickenboth, C. R.; Moore, J. S. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 8975–8978.
- (16) Klukovich, H. M.; Kean, Z. S.; Iacono, S. T.; Craig, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17882–17888.
- (17) Chen, X.; Wudl, F.; Mal, A. K.; Shen, H.; Nutt, S. R. *Macromolecules* 2003, 36, 1802–1807.
- (18) Wiggins, K. M.; Syrett, J. A.; Haddleton, D. M.; Bielawski, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 7180–7189.
- (19) Black, A. L.; Orlicki, J. A.; Craig, S. L. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 8460–8465.
- (20) Black, A. L.; Lenhardt, J. M.; Craig, S. L. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 1655–1663.
- (21) Klukovich, H. M.; Kouznetsova, T. B.; Kean, Z. S.; Lenhardt, J. M.; Craig, S. L. Nat. Chem. **2013**, *5*, 110–114.
- (22) Wu, D.; Lenhardt, J. M.; Black, A. L.; Akhremitchev, B. B.; Craig, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 15936–15938.
- (23) Kean, Z. S.; Craig, S. L. Polymer 2012, 53, 1035-1048.
- (24) Hickenboth, C. R.; Moore, J. S.; White, S. R.; Sottos, N. R.; Baudry, J.; Wilson, S. R. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 423–427.
- (25) Wiggins, K. M.; Hudnall, T. W.; Shen, Q. L.; Kryger, M. J.; Moore, J. S.; Bielawski, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 3256–3257.

- (26) Klukovich, H. M.; Kean, Z. S.; Ramirez, A. L. B.; Lenhardt, J. M.;
- Lin, J.; Hu, X.; Craig, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9577–9580. (27) Potisek, S. L.; Davis, D. A.; Sottos, N. R.; White, S. R.; Moore, J.
- S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13808–13809.
- (28) Hermes, M.; Boulatov, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20044-20047.
- (29) Larsen, M. B.; Boydston, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8189-8192.
- (30) Kryger, M. J.; Munaretto, A. M.; Moore, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 18992–18998.
- (31) Kryger, M. J.; Ong, M. T.; Odom, S. A.; Sottos, N. R.; White, S. R.; Martinez, T. J.; Moore, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 4558–4559.
- (32) Kean, Z. S.; Black Ramirez, A. L.; Yan, Y.; Craig, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12939–12942.
- (33) Moore, J. S.; Stupp, S. I. Macromolecules 1990, 23, 65-70.
- (34) Schenck, G. O.; Kuhls, J.; Krauch, C. H. Just. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1966, 693, 20-43.
- (35) Beyer, M. K. J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 112, 7307-7312.
- (36) Lenhardt, J. M.; Black, A. L.; Craig, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10818-10819.
- (37) Konda, S. S. M.; Brantley, J. N.; Bielawski, C. W.; Makarov, D. E. J. Chem. Phys. **2011**, 135, 164103–164108.
- (38) Ong, M. T.; Leiding, J.; Tao, H. L.; Virshup, A. M.; Martinez, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 6377–6379.
- (39) Boulatov, R. Pure Appl. Chem. 2010, 83, 25-41.
- (40) Black Ramirez, A. L.; Ogle, J. W.; Schmitt, A. L.; Lenhardt, J. M.;
- Cashion, M. P.; Mahanthappa, M. K.; Craig, S. L. ACS Macro Lett. 2011, 23–27.
- (41) Frenkel, J. Acta Physicochim. URSS 1944, 19, 51-76.
- (42) Segal, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7892-7898.
- (43) Hoffmann, R.; Swaminathan, S.; Odell, B. G.; Gleiter, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 7091–7097.
- (44) Doubleday, C.; Camp, R. N.; King, H. F.; McIver, J. W.; Mullally, D.; Page, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 447–448.
- (45) Pedersen, S.; Herek, J. L.; Zewail, A. H. Science **1994**, 266, 1359–1364.
- (46) Baldwin, J. E.; Ford, P. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 7192–7192.
- (47) Doubleday, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 11968-11983.
- (48) Lenhardt, J. M.; Ong, M. T.; Choe, R.; Evenhuis, C. R.; Martinez, T. J.; Craig, S. L. Science **2010**, 329, 1057–1060.
- (49) Hoffmann, R.; Woodward, R. B. Science 1970, 167, 825-831.
- (50) Genaux, C. T.; Kern, F.; Walters, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 6196-6199.
- (51) Schaumann, E.; Ketcham, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1982, 21, 225–247.
- (52) Robson, R.; Grubb, P. W.; Barltrop, J. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 2153–2164.
- (53) Barltrop, J. A.; Robson, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1963, 4, 597-600.
- (54) Luo, Y. R. Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies; Taylor & Francis: London, 2010.